
Some proxy measurements also indicate that CO₂ levels may have far exceeded those of today during the time of the dinosaurs.







R. A. Berner and Z. Kothavala, Geocarb III: A Revised Model of Atmospheric CO₂ over Phanerozoic Time, American Journal of Science, V. 301, pp. 182-204 (2001)

...and some proxy measurements indicate the Earth was warmer during these pre-industrial portions of human history.

Climate: Data from Greenland Ice Cores (GISP2)



This might be attributed to cycling that is totally unrelated to human activity.




The overall percent of water vapor in the atmosphere far exceeds that of carbon dioxide:

Chemical makeup of the atmosphere EXCLUDING water vapor				
GAS	SYMBOL	CONTENT		
Nitrogen	N ₂	78.084%		
Oxygen	02	20.947%		
Argon	Ar	0.934%		
Carbon dioxide	CO ₂	0.035%		

WATER VAPOR		NITROGEN	OXYGEN	ARGON
0%	(desert climates)	78.084%	20.947%	0.934%
1%		77.30%	20.70%	0.92%
2%		76.52%	20.53%	0.91%
3%	(hot/humid days)	75.74%	20.32%	0.90%
4%	(tropical climates)	74.96%	20.11%	0.89%

https://www.noaa.gov/jetstream/atmosphere

The graph in your book is from the 2001 IPCC Report. It is very different from the report published in 1990.



1990 IPCC Report

2001 IPCC Report


The 1990 graph is reportedly based on a wide range of proxy data.


The 2001 graph reportedly grafted a narrower range of proxy data ...to selected temperature records from the 20th century.

This combination of sources differing in methodology forms the basis of the "hockey stick graph" controversy.

https://www.theunion.com/opinion/norm-sauer-mann-s-hockey-stick-a-documentedhoax/article 5e9bee14-1468-59aa-90d9-6d1e82f584cf.html

The settlement in Greenland lasted from 985-1450 AD.





Downloaded from: https://www.history.com/news/why-did-the-viking-disappear-from-greenland





FACT

NEW:

Do scientists agree on climate change?

Yes, the vast majority of actively publishing climate scientists – 97 percent – agree that humans are causing global warming and climate change. Most of the leading science organizations around the world have issued public statements expressing this, including international and U.S. science academies, the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, and a whole host of reputable scientific bodies around the world. A list of these organizations is provided here.

☑ Subscribe to newsletters

Forbes

'97% Of Climate Scientists Agree' Is 100% Wrong

Alex Epstein Contributor O



Jan 6, 2015, 02:12pm EST

"Historically, the claim of consensus has been the first refuge of scoundrels; it is a way to avoid debate by claiming that the matter is already settled." — Michael Crichton



Scene from the 1957 movie "12 Angry Men"

Unfortunately, carbon trading is riddled with fraud!





Greenwashing is when a company uses deception to appear "green" while engaging in business as usual.

Images downloaded from: https:///best-programs-providers8billiontrees.com/carbon-offsets-credits-companies-2021/neutral-certification-companies/



Cui bono?

Who benefits from climate change denial?



Who benefits from climate change alarmism?





Cui bono?

Who benefits from climate change denial?

The fossil fuel industry and car manufacturers.

Who benefits from climate change alarmism?

International organizations, unelected bureaucrats and "green" corporations.









