




The more recent “hockey stick” graph (top) is more widely accepted by mainstream scientists. But the Medieval Warm Period that is indicated in the older graph coincides almost perfectly with the 985-1450 timeline of the Greenland Viking settlement...




Downloaded from: <https://www.history.com/news/why-did-the-viking-disappear-from-greenland>

...and some proxy measurements indicate the Earth was even warmer during these earlier pre-industrial portions of human history.



There is also evidence that carbon dioxide levels in the distant past far exceeded those of today.





Berner, R. A., & Kothavala, Z. Geocarb III: A Revised Model of Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> over Phanerozoic Time. *American Journal of Science*, 301(2), 182–204. (2001).

Most of the concern is over growing levels of carbon dioxide...  
 ...but the overall percent of water vapor in the atmosphere far exceeds that of CO<sub>2</sub>.  
 Water vapor is a greenhouse gas that can have the opposite effect when it forms clouds.  
 Can climate modelling take this into account?

Chemical makeup of the atmosphere EXCLUDING water vapor		
GAS	SYMBOL	CONTENT
Nitrogen	N <sub>2</sub>	78.084%
Oxygen	O <sub>2</sub>	20.947%
Argon	Ar	0.934%
Carbon dioxide	CO <sub>2</sub>	0.035%

Chemical makeup of the atmosphere INCLUDING water vapor			
WATER VAPOR	NITROGEN	OXYGEN	ARGON
0% (desert climates)	78.084%	20.947%	0.934%
1%	77.30%	20.70%	0.92%
2%	76.52%	20.53%	0.91%
3% (hot/humid days)	75.74%	20.32%	0.90%
4% (tropical climates)	74.96%	20.11%	0.89%



<https://www.noaa.gov/jetstream/atmosphere>



The 97% “consensus” on climate change is a popular talking point even though it is disputed. If this number is accurate, what do we make of the 3% who disagree?

*“Historically, the claim of consensus has been the first refuge of scoundrels; it is a way to avoid debate by claiming that the matter is already settled.” — Michael Crichton*

 **GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE**  
Vital Signs of the Planet

 **FACTS** **NEWS**

## Do scientists agree on climate change?

Yes, the vast majority of actively publishing climate scientists – 97 percent – agree that humans are causing global warming and climate change. Most of the leading science organizations around the world have issued public statements expressing this, including international and U.S. science academies, the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, and a whole host of reputable scientific bodies around the world. A list of these organizations is provided [here](#).

 **Subscribe to newsletters**

**Forbes**

## '97% Of Climate Scientists Agree' Is 100% Wrong

Alex Epstein Contributor ©

[Follow](#)

Jan 6, 2015, 02:12pm EST



Scene from the 1957 movie “12 Angry Men”



Cui bono?

Who benefits from climate change denial?





Cui bono?

Who benefits from climate change denial?

The fossil fuel industry and car manufacturers.







Cui bono?

Who benefits from climate change denial?

The fossil fuel industry and car manufacturers.

Who benefits from climate change alarmism?







# Cui bono?

Who benefits from climate change denial?

The fossil fuel industry and car manufacturers.

Who benefits from climate change alarmism?

International organizations, unelected bureaucrats and “green” corporations.



## Acknowledgement:



Unless otherwise indicated, all images in this presentation were downloaded from  
**Wikimedia Commons:** [https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Main\\_Page](https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Main_Page)