

Watch the documentary and read the article passage then post twice. The first posting is worth 6 points. For the first posting you need to address two of the items below.

1. Quote or indicate an item from the article or documentary that you found interesting, surprising, and/or upsetting.
2. According to [multiple sources](#) some of the allegations in “Guinea Pig Kids” are disputed, and the BBC was compelled to issue an apology for its alleged “serious breaches” of editorial guidelines.” The BBC also removed the documentary from its website but never issued a formal retraction (<https://www.theguardian.com/media/2007/oct/23/2> & <https://kffhealthnews.org/morning-breakout/dr00048468/>). Do you think the producers of the documentary acted in good faith?
3. The BBC’s apology for “Guinea Pig Kids” is ambiguous because the BBC never issued a retraction. Do you think that special interests played a role in pressuring the BBC to apologize?
4. Do you find it surprising that the National Institute of Allergies and Infectious Diseases funded the HIV Trials? Do you think that the NIAID acted in good faith?
5. Nobody was prosecuted for the federally-funded HIV trials. Would you attribute this to lack of evidence or “double standards” of justice?
6. Do you think the appeals court was correct in comparing the Lead Abatement Study in Baltimore to experiments on Jews during the Holocaust?
7. Do you find it surprising that the EPA funded a study where researchers exposed children to potentially harmful levels of lead? Do you think that the EPA acted in good faith?
8. Do you think the EPA or NIAID bear any responsibility for adverse consequences attributable to the HIV or Lead Abatement experiments they funded?

For the second posting you need to respond to one post by another fellow student. The second posting is worth 3 points. For the second posting I recommend the following format:

- 1) I like how because
- or
- 2) I agree/disagree with because
- or
- 3) This relates tobecause

Here are the items you need to review:

Here is the video documentary “Guinea Pig Kids.” Watch the documentary to answer the questions:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wiRWbDv0obQ>

The attached pdf and table below contain portions from “[Guinea Pig Kids: Myth or Modern Tuskegee](#)” (a research article that is based on the video documentary). I highlighted key portions of the passages in blue (See attached pdf):

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/270450451_Guinea_Pig_Kids_Myth_or_Modern_Tuskegee

TABLE 1
Implementing and Protesting Actors, by Case

Actors	HIV trials	Lead abatement
Implementing		
Federal funding agencies	National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases	Environmental Protection Agency
Medical institutions	Columbia University Medical Center	Kennedy Krieger Institute; Johns Hopkins University
State agencies	NYS Admin. for Children's Services; NYS Dept. of Health	Maryland Dept. of Housing and Community Development
Local agencies	Incarnation Children's Center (private)	Baltimore City Health Department
Other implementers	Catholic Church	None
Protesting		
Parents	Jacqueline Hoerger (foster mother)	Catina Higgins; Viola Hughes (mothers)
Local and state politicians	NYC Council members	None
Faith-based responders	None	None
Other organizations	Alliance for Human Research Protection	None
Investigating federal groups	OHRP; House Committee on Ways and Means	OHRP