

Read the article & article portions and post twice. The first posting is worth 9 points. For the first posting you need to quote or paraphrase **three items from at least three of the different media files or links I posted** that surprised, interested, and/or upset/annoyed you. For each item you must **specify which item you are commenting about** and explain why it made you feel this way. To facilitate grading, you need to enumerate the items in your first post. Here is a sample post:

1. 1) The article on ... was interesting because ...
2. 2) The video on ... was upset me because ...
3. 3) The twitter post on ... surprised me because ...

For the second posting you need to respond to one post by another fellow student. The second posting is worth 3 points. For the second posting use the following format:

1) I like how because

or

2) I agree/disagree with because or

3) This relates tobecause

Here are the items:

This article discusses controversial experiments carried out by the US military on American cities:

<https://www.smithsonianmag.com/smart-news/1950-us-released-bioweapon-san-francisco-180955819/>

This video on chemicals sprayed over St. Louis is very polemical its approach, but everything the narrator describes is corroborated in other news sources:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=1&v=cN9MQmxktGg&embeds_referri ng_euri=https%3A%2F%2Fbb-montgomerycollege.blackboard.com%2F&source_ve_path=MjM4NTE

The official culprit of the "anthrax letters of 2001" was Bruce Ivins, an employee at the US Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases (USAMRIID). He did this (presumably) to get more funding for his research on anthrax vaccines, but Ivins reportedly committed suicide before the investigation was closed, and some scientists [continued to question](#) whether or not Ivins ever played a role in these terrorist attacks:

<https://www.history.com/news/anthrax-attacks-terrorism-letters>